Appln. No. 09/888,572 Amd. dated September 3, 2004 Reply to Office Action of June 3, 2004

REMARKS

The Examiner's action dated June 03, 2004, has been received, and its contents carefully noted.

In response to the rejection of claims 1-29 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, these claims have been thoroughly reviewed and revised to eliminate all sources of indefiniteness. The phrases specifically criticized by the Examiner have been deleted, as have all the limitations in parentheses. An optional feature previously defined in claim 1 has been deleted from that claim and included in a new dependent claim 31.

Accordingly, it is requested that the formal rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn, that claims 1-29 be reconsidered and allowed, and that the Application be found in allowable condition.

If the above amendment should not now place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to call undersigned counsel to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

. Finkelstein tration No. 21,082

JMF:dtb

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528 G:\BN\H\Hasl\Smith1\PTO\AMD 02SEP04.doc